IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 1224 /2006 Single Bench

PETITIONER : Shyamacharan Rai, Aged about 31 years, S/o. Shri Laldeo Rai, R/o. Village & Post Singibahar, Tah. Kunkuri, Distt. Jashpur (CG)

VERSUS

RESPONDENT'S :

1] State of Chhattisgarh, Through: Secretary, Tribal Welfare Deptt., D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (CG)

P.R. No. 1283/06 Presented by Shri K.A. Sorkar dated 21/3/06

2] Collector, Dantewada, Distt Dakshin Bastar, Dantewada (CG)

3] Director, Panchayat and Gramin Vikas Vibhag, Chhattisgarh, Raipur, District Raipur (CG)

RECEIVED-COPY 7/3/06 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

4] Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Bhairamgarh, District Dantewada (CG)

5] Block Education Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Bhairamgarh, District Dantewada (CG)

6] Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Dantewada, District Dantewada (CG)

WIRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

66

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

W. P. No. 1224 OF 2006

Petitioner : Shyamacharan Rai

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & Others.

Shri Manoj Paranjpe, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Sunil Otwani, panel lawyer for the respondents.

ORAL ORDER

(25th March, 2006)

1. This petition challenges the impugned order dated 30.11.2005, (Annexure P-8), passed by the respondent No.5, whereby the Officer Incharge of Primary School Medpal, Block Bhairamgarh, District Dantewada, has been directed that the order dated 20.10.2005, (Annexure P-5), passed by the Janpad Panchayat, Bhairamgarh, appointing Shiksha Karmi, Grade-III, be not given effect to without permission of District Collector, Dantewada.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was duly selected and appointed as Shiksha Karmi Grade-III, vide order dated 20.10.2005 (Annexure P-5), passed by the respondent No.6.

3. The petitioner was informed that the entire selection process appointing Shiksha Karmi Grade-III, has been cancelled. It is further contended that the petitioner has not received any written information as yet about cancellation of the selection proceedings, or his appointment.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents No.1, 2 and 3, submits that the petitioner has never joined pursuant to

his selection and appointment as Shiksha Karmi, Grade-III. It was further submitted that since the entire selection proceedings have been quashed and new selection process has been initiated, the petitioner does not have any right to the appointment.

5. Be that as it may, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has made a representation, (Annexure P-10) to the Secretary Scheduled Caste, Scheduled Tribe and Backward Classes, Welfare Department, Government of Chhattisgarh, therefore, the respondents may be directed to decide the said representation within a stipulated period.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, in the facts and circumstances of the case, the respondents are directed to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-10), in accordance with law, within a period of three weeks, from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order.

7. In view of foregoing, this petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge